UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,493	03/30/2004	L. Reg Funk	64,617-013	4900
7590 06/04/2007 Adam B. Strauss DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC Suite 300 39577 Woodward Avenue			EXAMINER	
			WATSON, ROBERT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,493	FUNK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a compared will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
_	Anril 2007					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 April 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 58-75 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 61,64 and 71-75 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 58-60, 62-63, 65-70 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	are withdrawn from conside	eration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,493

Art Unit: 3723

717 CONTROL MAINISCL: 1070 10,40

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 58-60, 62-63, and 65-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blatz (5,328,154)in view of Extine (4,108,412).

Blatz teaches the use of plural stackable planar bodies having projections on the upper surface and pockets on the lower surface for stacking the planar bodies in a manner to support and level a vehicle. The upper planar body lacks a removable vehicle wheel positional restraint.

Extine teaches the use of a vehicle wheel positioning restraint at Figure 2.

Element 34 is a fixed positioning restraint having a curvilinear ramp shaped obstruction on the upper surface at an end thereof. Extine also shows a removable obstruction 30. The edge portion on the lower surface below the obstruction may be termed a heel.

The complimentary corrugation 28 that is formed on the planar body and on the bottom of the restraint are projections and pockets that mate with each other.

To stack a vehicle positioning elements such as vehicle wheel positioning restraint 34 and an obstruction 30 of Extine on the Blatz upper surface would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Extine. To accomplish this by means of mating projections and pockets on the planar body and on the bottom of the restrint would have been obvious in view of Extine's corrugations 28. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated

Art Unit: 3723

to do this in order to prevent the vehicle from overrunning the stacked wheel support when it is driven on the stacked wheel support. To shape the pockets on the lower surface of the positioning restraint to match the projections on the upper surface of the first planar member is considered to be an obvious extension of the broad teachings of corrugations 28 of Extine; ie., for the elements to be removably stacked the shape of the projections and pockets must match. The choosen shape of the projections and pockets is no more than an obvious matter of design choice absent a showing of criticality for this feature. Also, since Extine teaches that obstruction 30 is removable then it would similarly obvious to make the positioning restraint 34 removable. This is no more than a duplication of the removable teaching already taught in Extine.

Claims 61, 64, and 71-75 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/29/05.

Applicant's remarks have been given careful consideration. In particular applicant's statement that in Extine "Element 30 does not have a lower surface with at least one engaging pocket in order to removably couple the positional restraint to a first planar body" flies in the face of Figure 3 of Extine. Corregations 28 on the lower surface of positional restraint 30 are pockets for removably coupling the restraint 30 to first planar member 26. Also, Applicant's statement that in Extine "Element 30 has no obstruction on the upper surface" flies in the face of Figure 3 of Extine. Certainly the upwardly curved surface of element 30 is an obstruction and any cross-sectional

Art Unit: 3723

horizontal plane of element 30 may be termed the upper surface on which the curved surface of element 30 is on. Where does applicant think the upwardly curved surface of element 30 is located? On the lower surface? Applicant further states that the combining the restraint of Extine with the top surface of the stack of planar objects of Blatz will destroy the Blatz device for its intended purpose. Since the restraint of Extine is removable it is not seen how Blatz is destroyed by adding something that is removable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3723

rcw

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1009.

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER